



អង្គបុរេជំនុំជម្រះ

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER  
CHAMBRE PRELIMINAIRE

Criminal Case File N° 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/OCIJ (PTC 02)

Before: Judge PRAK Kimsan, President  
Judge Rowan DOWNING  
Judge PEN Pichsaly  
Judge Katinka LAHUIS  
Judge HUOT Vuthy

Date: 14 October 2008

<b>ឯកសារដើម</b>	
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de reception):	
14 / 10 / 2008	
ម៉ោង (Time/Heure):	
14:00	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier:	
C.A. Fry	

PUBLIC

DECISION ON IENG SARY'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY AMICUS CURIAE

Co-Prosecutors

CHEA Leang  
Robert PETIT  
YET Chakriya  
William SMITH  
PICH Sambath  
Alex BATES

<b>ឯកសារបានមកម្តងម្កើតតាមច្បាប់ដើម</b>	
CERTIFIED COPY/COPIE CERTIFIÉE CONFORME	
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ត្រឹមត្រូវ (Certified Date/Date de certification):	
14 / 10 / 2008	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier:	
C.A. Fry	

Charged Person


KAING Guek Eav alias "DUCH"

Lawyers for the Civil Parties

KONG Pisey  
HONG Kimsuon  
YOUNG Panith  
KIM Mengkhy  
MOCH Sovannary  
Silke STUDZINSKY  
Martine JACQUIN  
Philippe CANNONE

Co-Lawyers for the Defence

KAR Savuth  
François ROUX



D 99/3/23

1. **THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER** of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (“ECCC”) has received a motion by the Co-Lawyers for Ieng Sary “to disqualify Professor Antonio Cassese and selected members of the Board of Editors and Editorial Committee of the Journal of International Criminal Justice from submitting a written *amicus curiae* brief on the issue of joint criminal enterprise in the Co-Prosecutor’s appeal of the Closing Order against Kaing Guek Eav ‘Duch’” filed on 6 October 2008 (“Motion”).
2. The Co-Prosecutors filed a response to the Motion on 13 October 2008.
3. The Pre-Trial Chamber notes that Ieng Sary is not a party in the Case File 001/18-7-2007-ECCC-OCIJ which concerns the Charged Person “Duch” alone.<sup>1</sup>
4. In their Motion, which is brought pursuant to Internal Rules 33 (*Amicus curiae* Briefs) and 34 (Recusal and Disqualification of Judges), the Co-Lawyers for Ieng Sary appear to assume a right to participate directly in another case.
5. The Pre-Trial Chamber notes that in its “Decision on Ieng Sary’s Request to make submissions on the application of the theory of joint criminal enterprise in the Co-Prosecutors’ Appeal of the Closing Order against Kaing Guek Eav ‘Duch’” of 6 October 2008, it found that the Charged Person Ieng Sary did not have the right to intervene in the case against the Charged Person Duch on the issue of the application of the theory of joint criminal enterprise.
6. The Pre-Trial Chamber finds that the Charged Person Ieng Sary lacks standing to bring the current Motion.

**THEREFORE, THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER HEREBY:**

Decides that the Motion is inadmissible. mi

Phnom Penh, 14 October 2008

**President of the Pre-Trial Chamber**



**PRAK KIMSAN**

<sup>1</sup> Separation Order, 19 September 2007, D18.